

Engineering Technology Industry Council
Meeting held September 24, 2003 at CAPITAL Center

In Attendance:

Ron Adams	OSU
Morgan Anderson	Intel
Norm Armour	LSI Logic
Bob Broeg	WOU
Dave Brown	Tektronix
Shirley Clark	OUS
Jim Craven	AeA
Joyce Cresswell	Saturday Academy
Bruce DeYoung	OSU Extension
Martha Anne Dow	OIT
Rich Duncombe	Hewlett Packard
Charmagne Ehrenhaus	OUS/OCATE
Dick Fairley	OGI/OHSU
Ron Geason	PSU
Joe Graf	SOU
Ray Guenther	Intel (by phone)
Richard Jarvis	OUS
Dave Johnson	UO
Jim Johnson	
Gary Lietke	OUS
Richard Linton	UO
Wally Rhines	Mentor Graphics
Skip Rung	
Bruce Schafer	OUS
Ed Thompson	OGI/OHSU
Michele Vitali	OUS
Vicki Wagers	OMSE
Dave Woodall	OIT
Michal Young	UO

Wally Rhines, ETIC Chair, opened the meeting by asking for approval of the August 6, 2003 meeting minutes. Motion was made, seconded and approved.

Chancellor Jarvis shared his comments regarding the close of the legislative process and recent lottery bond capital investment proposals. He thanked ETIC for an extraordinary effort on everyone's part, including exceptional work by AOI and the campus lobbyists. Noting that various obstacles were dealt with, we should vow to work even harder and more aggressively next biennium. Among other things we should work closely with OCKED to assure communication is as consistent as possible.

ETIC Bylaws Revisions

Since approving the revised bylaws at the last meeting, there was a slight modification suggested by Ray Guenther and Bruce Schafer placing less emphasis on hi-tech. There was a motion made, seconded and approved to update the bylaws by the voting members.

Scorecards

OGI/OHSU, OIT, OSU, PSU, SOU and UO presented final scorecards as of June 30, 2003. Scorecards are located at http://www.oregonetic.org/investment_indicators/.

Engineering Technology Industry Council
Meeting held September 24, 2003 at CAPITAL Center

Jim Johnson requested that as an agenda item for the next ETIC meeting, OGI/OHSU provide the Council with an update on their status following the merger with OHSU nearly two and a half years ago. Ed Thompson agreed to present some slides.

Proposals for \$21.4 million plan

Wally summarized the status of the ETIC investment plan. As a result of the recent legislative session, the original \$40 million plan was not approved and the approximate \$21.4 million now needs to be allocated. He confirmed that revised proposals would be evaluated based on program merit. Several members gave their opinion on the relative importance of building capacity vs. investing in excellence initiatives. It was agreed that both would continue to be important, partly because they reinforce each other.

Wally advised the campuses not to assume that cuts would be made across the board. In preparation for an upcoming voting member's meeting, preliminary proposal presentations were requested for this meeting. Wally said he hoped that each campus would include some sort of excellence initiative in their revised proposals but it was up to each campus to develop a revised plan in light of the dramatic reduction in State funds. Bruce reminded the group that the revised proposals should include updated metrics forecasts.

All campuses were invited prior to the meeting to give brief presentations on their revised investment proposals. Those that did are summarized below:

OGI/OHSU: Ed Thompson stated that OGI's previous allocation under the \$40 million plan was \$4.66 million, if their piece were scaled down proportionately that would allow for \$2.45 million. This would allow them to add three new faculty members.

OIT: Martha Anne Dow reviewed OIT's scaled back request for 1.24 million in their capacity plan (which includes the pre-college consortium) and their OREC Excellence Initiative for \$500,000.

PSU: Ron Geason summarized PSU's revised proposal and encouraged the council to move quickly so that money could be disbursed and put to good use. He stated that their request for \$5.3 million (\$4.4 million capacity and \$925K excellence) would help bring their departments to Ph.D. level and for the first time would be ranked in the research area of the *U.S. News and World Report*.

SOU: Joe Graf presented their revised joint request for \$550,000 for the Joint Materials Science Program with the University of Oregon. He reported that rather than downsizing, they will delay implementation of the program until next academic year (04-05) instead of cutting it in half and running it for two years. Dave Johnson added that realizing their leveraging is important as they look for opportunities to use the ETIC funds to get grants from NSF. Joe also presented SOU's revised capacity plan for \$360,000 (main) and \$275,000 (backup).

Engineering Technology Industry Council

Meeting held September 24, 2003 at CAPITAL Center

WOU: Bob Broeg stated that their request in the \$40 million plan was restricted by concerns about meeting the private match requirements. More recently he has been able to confirm support for recruiting support. They are therefore requesting \$250,000. They are not able to use the ETIC funds for tenured faculty, but by using it for adjuncts it frees up time for the tenured faculty. Their goals include a network lab and scholarships.

Pre-college: Joyce Cresswell presented the consortium request representing PSU/Saturday Academy, OIT's pre-college programs and OSU Extension. She reported on the success of last biennium's investment of \$1.1 million, which was approximately 5% of the total ETIC investment plan. They are requesting the same percentage level for this biennium so that they can continue without a break in the pipeline, which would be approximately \$1.05 million.

Everyone agreed that we should be sensitive to getting these final proposals in quickly and that the voting members would meet via conference call so they could report back to the campuses. Wally felt that the members should be able to identify the final allocation within 5% after a first pass. The final plan would then be submitted to the Chancellor later in October so that it would be available in time for the November meeting of the State board of Higher Education.

Final campus proposals were requested to be submitted to Bruce by October 2nd so summary tables could be prepared and distributed with the proposals to the voting members. The voting members will meet on October 9th to develop an allocation recommendation.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.