

Engineering Technology Industry Council

Voting Member Meeting held April 21, 2006 at CAPITAL Center

Voting Members Present:

Eileen Boerger	Agilis Solutions
Dave Brown	Tektronix
Gary Kaleta	Gunderson/OMI
Eric Meslow	Timbercon
Kathy Miller	Hewlett Packard (by phone)
Craig Zemke	JELD-WEN
Fred Ziari	EZ Wireless

Other Attendees:

Bruce Schafer	OUS
Michele Vitali	OUS

The following were unable to attend the meeting but submitted their recommendations by email:

Gerhard Beenen	
Chuck May	LSI
Steve Pawlowski	Intel
Wally Rhines	Mentor Graphics

Fred Ziari chaired the meeting which was a follow-up to the April 14th special meeting for 2007-2009 Campus Proposal presentations at which 12 voting members were present to hear presentations from each campus. The purpose of today's meeting was to develop a recommendation for the next biennium. The campus presentations and proposals are located on the next biennium planning page of the ETIC website at <http://www.oregonetic.org/nb.htm>.

Bruce presented a spreadsheet providing previous and current biennia allocations, 07-09 state requests and private support forecasts. Information that was collected from the campuses after the April 14 campus presentations was also reviewed. Included in that were clarifications regarding 'programs', additional data on the continuing costs of supporting prior ETIC investments and answers to the following two questions:

- 1. ETIC investments are often focused on expanding campuses' capacity for delivering engineering education and for performing research. The OUS funding model provides "cell dollars" proportional to the number of student credit hours. In addition, campuses receive tuition proportional to student credit hours. Given that the faculty hired with ETIC funds allow your campus to teach more credit hours, to what extent do the associated cell dollars and tuition dollars cover the cost of the faculty salaries and benefits initially funded by ETIC in future biennia?*
- 2. Likewise, faculty hired using ETIC funds typically receive external funding for their research. To what extent do these research funds defray the cost of faculty and other expenses originally funded by ETIC? Is there an opportunity to increase the proportion of these expenses that are supported by external research funding?*

Also reviewed were revised proposals as a result of feedback given at the 4/14 campus presentations. For example OSU provided additional information at the request of members. There was also discussion regarding the pre-college proposals from Saturday Academy and OSU 4-H Youth Development that were submitted separately from the campuses proposals. It was agreed that part of the ETIC strategy is to invest in the pipeline and is how we have historically presented ourselves to the Board. It was also stated that we need to find a broader approach to pre-college with a more competitive allocation.

Bruce provided an estimate of the EBL, or Essential Budget Level, for the next biennium of \$22.72M based on a 10% increase over the current biennium

After thorough review and discussion, the voting members determined an allocation for the campus proposals based on the EBL and two Policy Option Packages, for a total request of \$66.03 million.

- The request for five of the eight campuses were covered in the EBL (with one \$0.25M adjustment downward for WOU). A portion of OSU, PSU, and UO/MSI's requests were allocated to the base budget. It was decided not to fund the UO computer science request. It was decided to allocate \$0.75M to pre-college in the base with the intent that this allocation be used for a competitive RFP that would allow Saturday Academy, OSU Extension and others to compete for these limited dollars.

Engineering Technology Industry Council

Voting Member Meeting held April 21, 2006 at CAPITAL Center

- Policy Option Package #1 for \$42.15 million covers increases in ETIC funding for OSU, PSU, and UO MSI.
- Policy Option Package #2 for \$1.1 million covers increases for pre-engineering funding, also known as OPAS -- Oregon Pre-engineering & Applied Science. This proposal will include \$100K for staffing and \$1.0M for an RFP process.
- See attached spreadsheet for details.

A resolution was passed to recommend the above described allocation.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Engineering Technology Industry Council
 Voting Member Meeting held April 21, 2006 at CAPITAL Center

TRIAL ALLOCATION OF CAMPUS PROPOSALS RECEIVED FOR ETIC FUNDING DURING 2007-2009 BIENNIUM												
4/20/2006			Funding			Allocation in ESL	Allocation in POP1	Allocation in POP2	Allocation in POP3	Allocation in POP4	POP Subtotal	Total
	2003-2005 Biennium Actual	2005-2007 Biennium Actual	State Dollars Requested	Private Support Forecast	Support Ratio							
	\$M	\$M	State funds requested in \$M	Projection of private support to be received during biennium associated with proposal	Private Support Forecast divided by State Dollars Requested	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
Campus						\$ 22.72						
EOU	0.25	0.33	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.89	1.98	0.45					-	0.45
OHSU/OGI	2.60	2.48	\$ 2.90	\$ 2.00	0.69	2.90					-	2.90
OIT	1.08	0.99	\$ 1.40	\$ 1.40	1.00	1.40					-	1.40
OSU	9.65	9.19	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00	1.00	8.25	34.90				34.90	43.15
PSU	4.69	4.67	\$ 12.00	\$ 24.00	2.00	5.25	6.75				6.75	12.00
SOU	0.54	0.51	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.27	0.51	0.53					-	0.53
UO	1.80	1.00	\$ 5.00	\$ 6.15	1.23	2.50	0.50				0.50	3.00
WOU	0.25	0.24	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.50	0.50	0.75					-	0.75
Pre-engineering	\$ 0.54	\$ 1.26	\$ 1.86	\$ 0.04	0.02	0.75	-	1.10			1.10	1.85
Total	\$ 21.40	\$ 20.66	\$ 75.13	\$ 85.25	1.13	\$ 22.78	\$ 42.15	\$ 1.10	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 43.25	\$ 66.03
Net Available						\$ (0.06)						
OSU Extension	0.27	0.21	0.28			0.00						
PSU Sat. Ac.	0.27	0.21	0.48	0.04	0.08							
Planning	-	0.08	0.10		0.00							
RFP	-	0.76	1.00		0.00							